

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,712	07/07/2003	Thomas P. Chojnacki	103524.0515869	7930
75	90 03/09/2005		EXAMINER	
FROST BROWN TODD LLC			CEGIELNIK, URSZULA M	
2200 PNC Center 201 E. Fifth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cincinnati, OH 45202-4182			3714	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/614,712	CHOJNACKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Urszula M. Cegielnik	3714			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2004.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6,8-10 and 13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-6,8,9 and 13</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No			
	application from the International Bureau	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A#a=b====	. Val					
Attachment 1) Notic	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic Notic Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
S. Patent and T	ademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/614,712

Art Unit: 3714

مزرح

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13, 2-6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neilson in view of Daravina.

Neilson discloses a target layer (13) comprising a magnetic material (col. 2, line 7), the target layer (13) having goals (the goals being the areas of the magnetic surface 13 that are lithographed or marked indicating a point value) formed therein wherein at least one of the goals (the goals being the areas of the magnetic surface 13 that are lithographed or marked indicating a point value) has a magnetic polarity (the goals being marked or lithographed on the magnetic surface 13 have a magnetic polarity for adhering the ball to the marked goal area); a ball (22) a ball made of polymer foam (an elastopolymer (plastic, col. 2, lines 20-21; a rare earth magnet (23) made of AlNiCo.(col. 2, lines 56-57).

Neilson does not disclose the ball having a magnet that is spherical.

It would have obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a magnet that is spherical as taught by Daravina, since such a modification would allow the ball to have a smoother and even magnetic adhesion to the target layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,712

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Gittens et al.

Neilson, as modified by Daravina, does not disclose the rare earth magnet being NdFeB or SmCo.

Gittens et al. teach a rare earth magnet formed of NdFeB or SmCo (col. 4, lines 22-32).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the rare earth magnet formed of NdFeB or SmCo as taught by Gittens et al. since such a modification would provide an alternative rare earth magnet.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Henderson.

Neilson, as modified by Daravina, lacks the shell formed from two hemispherical portions.

Henderson teaches forming a shell (see Figure 3, for example) from two hemispherical portions (15,16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the shell from two hemispherical portions as taught by Henderson, since Henderson states at col. 1, lines 65-72 through col. 2, lines 1-2 that such a modification would reduce costs of producing the ball by molding all components together at the same time to reduce manufacturing costs.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Urszula M. Cegielnik whose telephone number is 571-272-4420. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 5:45AM - 2:15PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H. Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for both regular and After Final communications.

Urszula M. Cegielnik Assistant Examiner Art Unit 3714 DERRIS H. BANKS

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ON CONTER 2700

CHNOLOGY CENTER 3700